Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/147,801	NIKLASSON, BO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) <u>Connie Wong</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>A February 2005</u>	Time:	ı
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: proposed new claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		•
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separadid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	The examiner will provide a value are record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
MARY E. MOSHER PRIMARY EXAMINER (60) GROUP 1880		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applie	cant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: MM drafted allowable new claims & reasons for allowance, faxed to applicant's representative for consideration. CW contacted clients and obtained approval. Since CW was not attorney of record and did not give registartion number, MM indicated that attorney of record Jane Gunnison would need to call & indicate approval of examiner's amendment. Subsequent call to Ms. Gunnison's office was not returned.